

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

IN RE: SULZER HIP PROSTHESIS)	Civil Action No.: 01-CV-9000
AND KNEE PROSTHESIS PRODUCT)	
LIABILITY LITIGATION)	ALL CASES
)	
<i>This document relates to:</i>)	(MDL No. 1401)
<i>William Pappalardo</i>)	
)	Judge Kathleen M. O'Malley

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MASTER DETERMINATION

Class Member William Pappalardo, by and through his attorney Peter J. Brodhead, Esq. of the Spangenberg, Shibley & Liber Law Firm ("Appellant"), appealed the decision of the Claims Administrator ("Appellee") in rendering a Final Determination dated January 29, 2004 on Appellant's claim for Extraordinary Injury Fund ("EIF") benefits from the Sulzer Settlement Trust.

Appellant appealed the decision of the Appellee, and contends that Appellee erred in his decision to deny Appellant's claim for EIF Matrix Level IX benefits.

The factual findings of this matter are as follows:

1. Appellant submitted a claim for EIF Matrix Level III, V and IX benefits.
2. Appellant has been deemed eligible for Matrix Level V benefits.
3. Appellant also seeks Matrix Level III benefits for a Non-Affected Product Revision Surgery, and Matrix Level IX benefits for depression.
4. Appellee issued Preliminary and Final Determinations that Appellant was not eligible for EIF Matrix Level III and IX benefits.

**IN RE: SULZER HIP PROSTHESIS
AND KNEE PROSTHESIS PRODUCT
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Notice of Special Master Determination

William Pappalardo

Page 2 of 3

After a thorough review of the appeal submitted by the Appellant and the response submitted by the Appellee, the Special Master finds as follows:

Appellant has not appealed the Final Determination regarding his EIF Matrix Level IX claim for depression. Therefore, Appellee's Final Determination of ineligibility regarding that claim is final and it may not be further contested or appealed.

The Special Master shall review Final Determinations only for an abuse of discretion by the Appellee, Claims Administrator.

By Order of the United States District Court, the Claims Administrator shall evaluate claims for EIF benefits according to the policies and procedures set forth in Claims Administrator Procedure ("CAP") 27.

Section 7 of CAP 27 and Annex IV of the Settlement Agreement state "Class Members who have undergone a Non-Affected Product Revision Surgery on or before the date that is one hundred and eighty (180) days after the date of an APRS" may be eligible for benefits under Matrix Level III, and "the Claims Administrator may, at his discretion, extend this compensable time period by 180 days where a Class Member's treating orthopedic surgeon causally relates (to a medical probability) the NAPRS to the APRS and/or to the original implantation of the Affected Product."

Appellant underwent an APRS on July 24, 2000. In accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and CAP 27, in order to be eligible for EIF Matrix Level III benefits, the latest possible date for Appellant to have undergone a NAPRS would have been 360 days after his APRS, or July 19, 2001. It is not disputed that Appellant's NAPRS was performed on August 28, 2001, more than a month after that deadline.

Appellee, Claims Administrator, does not have the authority to extend the EIF Matrix Level III deadline for undergoing an NAPRS past the 360 days prescribed by the Settlement Agreement; therefore he did not abuse his discretion in denying Appellant's claim for Matrix Level III benefits.

By order of the Special Master, Appellee's Final Determination of ineligibility for Appellant's EIF Matrix Level III claim is hereby AFFIRMED.

Appellant and Appellee have fifteen days from the date of this decision to submit a fact or principle they believe the Special Master did not consider in rendering a decision. **Such submissions may not be more than three pages in length. Exhibits are not to be attached to any such submission and will not be considered. Submissions are to be mailed to the following address:**

**IN RE: SULZER HIP PROSTHESIS
AND KNEE PROSTHESIS PRODUCT
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Notice of Special Master Determination

William Pappalardo

Page 3 of 3


Leo M. Spellacy, Sr., Esq.
Special Master to the Sulzer Settlement Trust
c/o Liaison Counsel
1600 Midland Building
101 Prospect Avenue West
Cleveland, OH 44115

APR 30 2004

If no response is received (postmarked) by _____, then the Special Master's Decision is final and may not be further contested or appealed.

APR 15 2004

Date



Leo M. Spellacy, Sr., Esq.
Special Master